

Using Scanner Data To Answer Food Policy Questions

Conference

Wednesday, June 1 - Thursday, June 2, 2011

Economic Research Service 1800 M Street, NW Waugh Auditorium Washington, DC

Mandatory fat content labelling v.s. fat tax:

Evidence from the French fromage blanc and yogurt market

Olivier Allais¹ Fabrice Etilé^{1,2} Sébastien Lecocq¹

¹INRA, UR1303 ALISS, F-94205 Ivry-sur-Seine, France. ²PSE, France.

ERS scanner data conference June 1 and 2

Trends in obesity

- Growth of obesity and overweight-related diseases:
 - More than 1 billion adults overweight in 2010 (WHO),
 - Growing share of fat in calories available for human diet (40-45% today, 20-30% a century ago).

Taxation of unhealthy food products or fat, sugar, salt

- Functions as a disincentive to purchase unhealthy products:
 - Effects on fat, sugar, and salt purchased are quite low, and can be extremely regressive (Caraher, 2005, Mytton, 2007, Chouinard et al., 2007 and Allais et al., 2010).
 - Exceptions are Griffith et al. (2010) and Bonnet et al. (2010).

Mandatory labelling of key nutrients

- Perfectly informs consumers about key nutrient content may help consumers moving to more healthy products.
 - Can be effective at reducing consumption or sales of some high-fat products (Mathios, 2000; Teisl et al., 2001; Kiesel and Villas-Boas, 2010).
 - Do all consumers like fat content labels?

Main Objective

- Compare fat content mandatory labelling and fat tax:
 - Impacts on consumers: fat purchased, welfare variations.
 - Impacts on firms: market share, price, and profit variations.

Empirical approach

- We consider French yogurt and fromage blanc market in 2007,
- using discrete demand model on scanner data, as in Griffith et al. (2010),
- and compute firms' profit maximizing response to each policy, as in Berry et al (1995, 2004), and Nevo (2001).

Data

Overview

- Scanner data from the Kantar Worldpanel survey collected in 2007:
 - Representative of French households food-at-home expenditures,
 - 13380 households for about 5,500,000 purchases,
 - Information on each purchase made in 2007: store, quantity, expenditure, plus a number of product characteristics.











Second argument: A quite substantial share of total fat purchased

Yogurts and fromages blancs account for 2.75% of total fat purchased.

Third argument: Exogenous variation in French labelling legislation



Third argument: Exogenous variation in French labelling legislation



Third argument: Exogenous variation in French labelling legislation



Product attributes

Product characteristics		In the universal	In household
		product set	choice set
Price (std deviation)		2.44 (1.09)	2.71 (1.22)
Products with a Label	Label	85%	81%
Skimmed		24%	22%
Half-skimmed		38%	35%
Full fat		37%	43%
Fromage Blanc		80%	78%
Texture	${\bf Smooth}$	75%	73%
Products with a pack size below 200g	Portion<200g	54%	59%
Organic or bifidus products	Organic/Bifidus	4%	8%
Low-quality retailer and hard-discount brands	Low quality	20%	9%
Mid-quality retailer brands	Mid quality	39%	23%
High-quality retailer and national brands	Reference	40%	68%

Product attributes

Product characteristics		In the universal	In household
		product set	choice set
Price (std deviation)		2.44 (1.09)	2.71 (1.22)
Products with a Label	Label	85%	81%
Skimmed		24%	22%
Half-skimmed		38%	35%
Full fat		37%	43%
Fromage Blanc		80%	78%
Texture	Smooth	75%	73%
Products with a pack size below $200g$	${\rm Portion} < 200 {\rm g}$	54%	59%
Organic or bifidus products	Organic/Bifidus	4%	8%
Low-quality retailer and hard-discount brands	Low quality	20%	9%
Mid-quality retailer brands	Mid quality	39%	23%
High-quality retailer and national brands	Reference	40%	68%

Household characteristics

Household size 2.6 (1.33) The meal planner is a male 4% Single household 8% Couple without children 23% Couple with children 39% Aged older than 65 31%		Mean
The meal planner is a male Single household Couple without children Couple with children Aged older than 65 Body Mass Index (BMI) Meal planner overweight: BMI≥25 Meal planner risky-overweight: BMI≥27 Meal planner obese: BMI≥30 Education = Primary 4% 4% 4% 4% 23% 24.77 4.23 40% 40% 40% 40% 40% 24.77 25% 40% 26% 40% 26% 40% 26% 40% 26% 40% 26% 40% 26% 40% 26% 40% 26% 40% 26% 40% 40% 40% 40% 40% 40% 40% 4	Monthly household income in Euro	2696 (1435)
Single household 8% Couple without children 23% Couple with children 39% Aged older than 65 31% Body Mass Index (BMI) 24.77 (4.23 Meal planner overweight: BMI \geq 25 40% Meal planner obese: BMI \geq 30 12% Education = Primary 25% of the second 12% of	Household size	2.6(1.33)
Couple without children 23% Couple with children 39% Aged older than 65 31% Body Mass Index (BMI) 24.77 (4.23 Meal planner overweight: BMI≥25 40% Meal planner risky-overweight: BMI≥27 26% Meal planner obese: BMI≥30 12% Education = Primary 25% of the state of the s	The meal planner is a male	4%
Couple with children 39% Aged older than 65 31% Body Mass Index (BMI) 24.77 (4.23 Meal planner overweight: BMI≥25 40% Meal planner risky-overweight: BMI≥27 Meal planner obese: BMI≥30 12% Education = Primary 25%	Single household	8%
Aged older than 65 31% Body Mass Index (BMI) 24.77 (4.23 Meal planner overweight: BMI \geq 25 40% Meal planner risky-overweight: BMI \geq 27 26% Meal planner obese: BMI \geq 30 12% Education = Primary 25%	Couple without children	23%
Body Mass Index (BMI) 24.77 (4.23 Meal planner overweight: BMI \geq 25 40% Meal planner risky-overweight: BMI \geq 27 26% Meal planner obese: BMI \geq 30 12% Education = Primary 25%	Couple with children	39%
Meal planner overweight: BMI≥25 40% Meal planner risky-overweight: BMI≥27 26% Meal planner obese: BMI≥30 12% Education = Primary 25%	Aged older than 65	31%
Meal planner risky-overweight: BMI≥27 26% Meal planner obese: BMI≥30 12% Education = Primary 25%	Body Mass Index (BMI)	$24.77 \ (4.23)$
Meal planner obese: BMI≥30 12% Education = Primary 25%	Meal planner overweight: BMI $\!\geq\!25$	40%
Education = Primary 25%	Meal planner risky-overweight: BMI $\!\geq\!27$	26%
	Meal planner obese: BMI ≥ 30	12%
Education = High school 33 %	Education = Primary	25%
	$Education = High\ school$	33 %
${\tt Education = Baccalaureat} \qquad \qquad 26~\%$	${\bf Education} = {\bf Baccalaureat}$	26~%
Education > Baccalaureat $$16\ \%$$	${\it Education} > {\it Baccalaure at}$	16 %

Household characteristics

	Mean
Monthly household income in Euro	2696 (1435)
Household size	2.6(1.33)
The meal planner is a male	4%
Single household	8%
Couple without children	23%
Couple with children	39%
Aged older than 65	31%
Body Mass Index (BMI)	24.77 (4.23)
Meal planner overweight: BMI ≥ 25	40%
Meal planner risky-overweight: BMI $\!\!\geq\!\!27$	26%
Meal planner obese: BMI ≥ 30	12%
Education = Primary	25%
$Education = High\ school$	33 %
Education = Baccalaure at	26 %
Education > Baccalaureat	16 %

Household choice set for a period

- 14 distribution channels (retailer company \times store size):
 - Set of products sold over the year for each channel,
 - Channels visited by each household in each period are known.
- Household choice set for a period = all products available in the distribution channels visited by the household in the period:
 - Variation over households if they visited different distribution channels,
 - Variation for a given household from one period to another.

Household choice

one at random, with probabilities of selection proportional to the share of each product in the household 's yearly purchases.

• When several products purchased in the period \Rightarrow draw

 We chose five periods randomly for each household ⇒ Panel data.

Prices for a period

- Prices ⇒ for each product in each period:
 - Compute the mean unit prices in each distribution channels,
 - Average these mean unit prices over the distribution channels visited by the household,
 - Vary over time and between households, depending on visited channels.

	Outside option		Fromages blancs			Dessert yogurts		
		Skimmed	Half skimmed	Full fat	Half skimmed	Full fat		
Number of products (number with a label)		54 (54)	63 (63)	63 (63)	24 (12)	20 (0)		
Mean price (Std. Deviation)	0	1.99 (0.88)	1.98 (0.78)	2.95 (1.14)	2.88(1.36)	3.09 (0.39)		
Market shares inc. the outside option	5.4%	16.2%	38.9%	15.7%	6.7%	17.2%		
Market shares exc. the outside option		17.1%	41.1%	16.6%	7.1%	18.2%		

	Outside option		Fromages blancs			Dessert yogurts		
		Skimmed	Half skimmed	Full fat	Half skimmed	Full fat		
Number of products (number with a label)		54 (54)	63 (63)	63 (63)	24 (12)	20 (0)		
Mean price (Std. Deviation) in Euro	0	1.99 (0.88)	1.98 (0.78)	2.95 (1.14)	2.88(1.36)	3.09 (0.39)		
Market shares inc. the outside option	5.4%	16.2%	38.9%	15.7%	6.7%	17.2%		
Market shares exc. the outside option		17.1%	41.1%	16.6%	7.1%	18.2%		

	Outside option	Fromages blancs			Dessert yogurts		
		Skimmed	Half skimmed	Full fat	Half skimmed	Full fat	
Number of products (number with a label)		54 (54)	63 (63)	63 (63)	24 (12)	20 (0)	
Mean price (Std. Deviation) in Euro	0	1.99 (0.88)	1.98 (0.78)	2.95 (1.14)	2.88 (1.36)	3.09 (0.39)	
Market shares inc. the outside option	5.4%	16.2%	38.9%	15.7%	6.7%	17.2%	
Market shares exc. the outside option		17.1%	41.1%	16.6%	7.1%	18.2%	

	Outside option		Fromages blancs	Dessert yogurts		
		Skimmed	Half skimmed	Full fat	Half skimmed	Full fat
Number of products (number with a label)		54 (54)	63 (63)	63 (63)	24 (12)	20 (0)
Mean price (Std. Deviation) in Euro	0	1.99 (0.88)	1.98 (0.78)	2.95 (1.14)	2.88(1.36)	3.09 (0.39)
Market shares inc. the outside option	5.4%	16.2%	38.9%	15.7%	6.7%	17.2%
Market shares exc. the outside option		17.1%	41.1%	16.6%	7.1%	18.2%

	Outside option	Fromages blancs			Dessert yogurts		
		Skimmed	Half skimmed	Full fat	Half skimmed	Full fat	
Number of products (number with a label)		54 (54)	63 (63)	63 (63)	24 (12)	20 (0)	
Mean price (Std. Deviation) in Euro	0	1.99 (0.88)	1.98 (0.78)	2.95 (1.14)	2.88 (1.36)	3.09 (0.39)	
Market shares inc. the outside option	5.4%	16.2%	38.9%	15.7%	6.7%	17.2%	
Market shares exc. the outside option		17.1%	41.1%	16.6%	7.1%	18.2%	

Empirical model

MMNL advantages

- Taste heterogeneity,
- Household specific preference parameters conditional on observed choices and covariates,

Supply side: linear pricing model

- We assume that firms:
 - Set their prices,
 - compete in Nash-Bertrand game, holding the menu of products on offer constant.

Price and label endogeneity

- Prices and label endogeneity are corrected using control function approach of Petrin and Train (2009):
 - Price endogeneity \Rightarrow Past price variations as valid IVs.
 - Label endogeneity ⇒ Interactions between fat content and product categories as valid IVs.

Estimation Results

Coefficients (Std. dev)

		Income							
	Mean	Std. dev.	First Quartile	Second Quartile	Third Quartile	Man	Risky-overweight	Household size	Over 65
Price	-1.870***	1.995***	-0.232***	-0.148***	-0.013	-0.067	-0.042	0.012	0.263**
	(0.056)	(0.030)	(0.063)	(0.057)	(0.058)	(0.108)	(0.049)	(0.017)	(0.049)
Label	0.592**	3.85***	0.157	0.641**	0.180	-0.239	0.288		-0.447*
	(0.271)	(0.131)	(0.330)	(0.309)	(0.320)	(0.596)	(0.252)		(0.245)
Half-skimmed	0.283***		0.664***	0.400***	0.360***	0.766***	-0.201***		0.189**
	(0.065)		(0.085)	(0.083)	(0.089)	(0.176)	(0.070)		(0.070)
Full fat	0.250***		0.384***	0.142	0.229**	0.995***	0.010		0.226**
	(0.082)		(0.106)	(0.102)	(0.106)	(0.207)	(0.086)		(0.084)
Fromage blanc	1.447***		-0.009	-0.767***	-0.669***	0.303	-0.262*		-0.123
	(0.162)		(0.198)	(0.173)	(0.183)	(0.378)	(0.136)		(0.134)
Low-quality	-1.608***		0.367***	0.204*	0.221*			0.169***	
	(0.184)		(0.121)	(0.112)	(0.119)			(0.032)	
Mid-quality	-0.490***		0.364***	0.452*	0.447***			0.069***	
	(0.158)		(0.085)	(0.077)	(0.079)			(0.023)	
Below 200g	1.290***					-0.411***			
	(0.053)					(0.151)			
Smooth	-0.651***								
	(0.068)								
Terms to correct for endogeneity									
Residuals price	0.585***								
	(0.056)								
Residuals label	0.898*** (0.129)								
Err comput price	-0.246***								
pro-	(0.087)								
Err comput label	0.004								
	(0.098)								

				Income					
	Mean	Std. dev.	First Quartile	Second Quartile	Third Quartile	Man	Risky-overweight	Household size	Over 65
Price	-1.870***	1.995***	-0.232***	-0.148***	-0.013	-0.067	-0.042	0.012	0.263***
	(0.056)	(0.030)	(0.063)	(0.057)	(0.058)	(0.108)	(0.049)	(0.017)	(0.049)
Label	0.592**	3.85***	0.157	0.641**	0.180	-0.239	0.288		-0.447**
	(0.271)	(0.131)	(0.330)	(0.309)	(0.320)	(0.596)	(0.252)		(0.245)
Half-skimmed	0.283***		0.664***	0.400***	0.360***	0.766***	-0.201***		0.189***
	(0.065)		(0.085)	(0.083)	(0.089)	(0.176)	(0.070)		(0.070)
Full fat	0.250***		0.384***	0.142	0.229**	0.995***	0.010		0.226***
	(0.082)		(0.106)	(0.102)	(0.106)	(0.207)	(0.086)		(0.084)
Fromage blanc	1.447***		-0.009	-0.767***	-0.669***	0.303	-0.262*		-0.123
	(0.162)		(0.198)	(0.173)	(0.183)	(0.378)	(0.136)		(0.134)
Low-quality	-1.608***		0.367***	0.204*	0.221*			0.169***	
	(0.184)		(0.121)	(0.112)	(0.119)			(0.032)	
Mid-quality	-0.490***		0.364***	0.452*	0.447***			0.069***	
	(0.158)		(0.085)	(0.077)	(0.079)			(0.023)	
Below 200g	1.290***					-0.411***			
	(0.053)					(0.151)			
Smooth	-0.651***								
	(0.068)								
Terms to correct for endogeneity									
Residuals price	0.585***								
	(0.056)								
Residuals label	0.898*** (0.129)								
Err comput price	-0.246***								
	(0.087)								
Err comput label	0.004 (0.098)								

				Income					
	Mean	Std. dev.	First Quartile	Second Quartile	Third Quartile	Man	Risky-overweight	Household size	Over 65
Price	-1.870***	1.995***	-0.232***	-0.148***	-0.013	-0.067	-0.042	0.012	0.263**
	(0.056)	(0.030)	(0.063)	(0.057)	(0.058)	(0.108)	(0.049)	(0.017)	(0.049)
Label	0.592**	3.85***	0.157	0.641**	0.180	-0.239	0.288		-0.447*
	(0.271)	(0.131)	(0.330)	(0.309)	(0.320)	(0.596)	(0.252)		(0.245)
Half-skimmed	0.283***		0.664***	0.400***	0.360***	0.766***	-0.201***		0.189**
	(0.065)		(0.085)	(0.083)	(0.089)	(0.176)	(0.070)		(0.070)
Full fat	0.250***		0.384***	0.142	0.229**	0.995***	0.010		0.226**
	(0.082)		(0.106)	(0.102)	(0.106)	(0.207)	(0.086)		(0.084)
Fromage blanc	1.447***		-0.009	-0.767***	-0.669***	0.303	-0.262*		-0.123
	(0.162)		(0.198)	(0.173)	(0.183)	(0.378)	(0.136)		(0.134)
Low-quality	-1.608***		0.367***	0.204*	0.221*			0.169***	
	(0.184)		(0.121)	(0.112)	(0.119)			(0.032)	
Mid-quality	-0.490***		0.364***	0.452*	0.447***			0.069***	
	(0.158)		(0.085)	(0.077)	(0.079)			(0.023)	
Below 200g	1.290***					-0.411***			
	(0.053)					(0.151)			
Smooth	-0.651***								
	(0.068)								
Terms to correct for endogeneity									
Residuals price	0.585***								
	(0.056)								
Residuals label	0.898*** (0.129)								
Err comput price	-0.246***								
Est compile price	(0.087)								
Err comput label	0.004								
	(0.098)								

				Income					
	Mean	Std. dev.	First Quartile	Second Quartile	Third Quartile	Man	Risky-overweight	Household size	Over 65
Price	-1.870***	1.995***	-0.232***	-0.148***	-0.013	-0.067	-0.042	0.012	0.263***
	(0.056)	(0.030)	(0.063)	(0.057)	(0.058)	(0.108)	(0.049)	(0.017)	(0.049)
Label	0.592**	3.85***	0.157	0.641**	0.180	-0.239	0.288		-0.447**
	(0.271)	(0.131)	(0.330)	(0.309)	(0.320)	(0.596)	(0.252)		(0.245)
Half-skimmed	0.283***		0.664***	0.400***	0.360***	0.766***	-0.201***		0.189***
	(0.065)		(0.085)	(0.083)	(0.089)	(0.176)	(0.070)		(0.070)
Full fat	0.250***		0.384***	0.142	0.229**	0.995***	0.010		0.226***
	(0.082)		(0.106)	(0.102)	(0.106)	(0.207)	(0.086)		(0.084)
Fromage blanc	1.447***		-0.009	-0.767***	-0.669***	0.303	-0.262*		-0.123
	(0.162)		(0.198)	(0.173)	(0.183)	(0.378)	(0.136)		(0.134)
Low-quality	-1.608***		0.367***	0.204*	0.221*			0.169***	
	(0.184)		(0.121)	(0.112)	(0.119)			(0.032)	
Mid-quality	-0.490***		0.364***	0.452*	0.447***			0.069***	
	(0.158)		(0.085)	(0.077)	(0.079)			(0.023)	
Below 200g	1.290***					-0.411***			
	(0.053)					(0.151)			
Smooth	-0.651***								
	(0.068)								
Terms to correct for endogeneity									
Residuals price	0.585***								
	(0.056)								
Residuals label	0.898***								
Err comput price	(0.129) -0.246***								
Est comput price	(0.087)								
Err comput label	0.004								
	(0.098)								

				Income					
	Mean	Std. dev.	First Quartile	Second Quartile	Third Quartile	Man	Risky-overweight	Household size	Over 65
Price	-1.870***	1.995***	-0.232***	-0.148***	-0.013	-0.067	-0.042	0.012	0.263**
	(0.056)	(0.030)	(0.063)	(0.057)	(0.058)	(0.108)	(0.049)	(0.017)	(0.049)
Label	0.592**	3.85***	0.157	0.641**	0.180	-0.239	0.288		-0.447*
	(0.271)	(0.131)	(0.330)	(0.309)	(0.320)	(0.596)	(0.252)		(0.245)
Half-skimmed	0.283***		0.664***	0.400***	0.360***	0.766***	-0.201***		0.189**
	(0.065)		(0.085)	(0.083)	(0.089)	(0.176)	(0.070)		(0.070)
Full fat	0.250***		0.384***	0.142	0.229**	0.995***	0.010		0.226**
	(0.082)		(0.106)	(0.102)	(0.106)	(0.207)	(0.086)		(0.084)
Fromage blanc	1.447***		-0.009	-0.767***	-0.669***	0.303	-0.262*		-0.123
	(0.162)		(0.198)	(0.173)	(0.183)	(0.378)	(0.136)		(0.134)
Low-quality	-1.608***		0.367***	0.204*	0.221*			0.169***	
	(0.184)		(0.121)	(0.112)	(0.119)			(0.032)	
Mid-quality	-0.490***		0.364***	0.452*	0.447***			0.069***	
	(0.158)		(0.085)	(0.077)	(0.079)			(0.023)	
Below 200g	1.290***					-0.411***			
	(0.053)					(0.151)			
Smooth	-0.651***								
	(0.068)								
Terms to correct for endogeneity									
Residuals price	0.585***								
	(0.056)								
Residuals label	0.898***								
	(0.129)								
Err comput price	-0.246***								
	(0.087)								
Err comput label	0.004								
	(0.098)								

				Income					
	Mean	Std. dev.	First Quartile	Second Quartile	Third Quartile	Man	Risky-overweight	Household size	Over 65
Price	-1.870***	1.995***	-0.232***	-0.148***	-0.013	-0.067	-0.042	0.012	0.263***
	(0.056)	(0.030)	(0.063)	(0.057)	(0.058)	(0.108)	(0.049)	(0.017)	(0.049)
Label	0.592**	3.85***	0.157	0.641**	0.180	-0.239	0.288		-0.447**
	(0.271)	(0.131)	(0.330)	(0.309)	(0.320)	(0.596)	(0.252)		(0.245)
Half-skimmed	0.283***		0.664***	0.400***	0.360***	0.766***	-0.201***		0.189***
	(0.065)		(0.085)	(0.083)	(0.089)	(0.176)	(0.070)		(0.070)
Full fat	0.250***		0.384***	0.142	0.229**	0.995***	0.010		0.226***
	(0.082)		(0.106)	(0.102)	(0.106)	(0.207)	(0.086)		(0.084)
Fromage blanc	1.447***		-0.009	-0.767***	-0.669***	0.303	-0.262*		-0.123
	(0.162)		(0.198)	(0.173)	(0.183)	(0.378)	(0.136)		(0.134)
Low-quality	-1.608***		0.367***	0.204*	0.221*			0.169***	
•	(0.184)		(0.121)	(0.112)	(0.119)			(0.032)	
Mid-quality	-0.490***		0.364***	0.452*	0.447***			0.069***	
,	(0.158)		(0.085)	(0.077)	(0.079)			(0.023)	
Below 200g	1.290***					-0.411***			
	(0.053)					(0.151)			
Smooth	-0.651***								
	(0.068)								
Terms to correct for endogeneity	, ,								
Residuals price	0.585***								
	(0.056)								
Residuals label	0.898***								
	(0.129)								
Err comput price	-0.246*** (0.087)								
Err comput label	0.004								
zir compite taber	(0.098)								

Willingness to pay for a label

- The Maximum WTP is €1.83.
- 62% of the households have a positive WTP for fat content label on dessert yogurts.
- High consumers of dessert yogurts exhibit negative WTP.

Firm costs, prices, margins, and total annual profits

			Margina	d cost(€)			
Firm	Number of products	Predicted share	Mean	Std	Mean price (€)	Mean margin	Total annual profit (\in m
All	224		1.33	0.69	2.44	0.47	64.65
Manufacturer							
M1	17	19.96%	1.23	0.45	2.83	0.53	15.05
M2	11	9.03%	1.23	0.30	2.44	0.47	6.44
M3	14	6.08%	2.66	1.18	3.94	0.33	3.68
M4	3	2.57%	1.29	0.73	2.91	0.58	3.26
Retailer							
R1	32	12.36%	0.88	0.44	1.96	0.55	8.90
R2	16	8.65%	0.80	0.42	1.77	0.56	5.16
R3	16	7.34%	0.73	0.47	1.71	0.61	4.60
R4	42	5.40%	1.60	0.69	2.37	0.33	3.11
R5	18	4.87%	0.71	0.46	1.60	0.60	3.52
R6	12	4.33%	0.82	0.33	1.82	0.54	2.34
R7	4	4.06%	0.83	0.11	1.26	0.33	1.51
R8	21	3.41%	0.95	0.33	1.94	0.52	3.08
R9	14	3.33%	1.02	0.59	2.17	0.52	3.03
R10	4	2.44%	0.77	0.30	1.59	0.44	0.93

Firm costs, prices, margins, and total annual profits

			Margina	d cost(€)			
Firm	Number of products	Predicted share	Mean	Std	Mean price (€)	Mean margin	Total annual profit (€m)
All	224		1.33	0.69	2.44	0.47	64.65
Manufacturer							
M1	17	19.96%	1.23	0.45	2.83	0.53	15.05
M2	11	9.03%	1.23	0.30	2.44	0.47	6.44
M3	14	6.08%	2.66	1.18	3.94	0.33	3.68
M4	3	2.57%	1.29	0.73	2.91	0.58	3.26
Retailer							
R1	32	12.36%	0.88	0.44	1.96	0.55	8.90
R2	16	8.65%	0.80	0.42	1.77	0.56	5.16
R3	16	7.34%	0.73	0.47	1.71	0.61	4.60
R4	42	5.40%	1.60	0.69	2.37	0.33	3.11
R5	18	4.87%	0.71	0.46	1.60	0.60	3.52
R6	12	4.33%	0.82	0.33	1.82	0.54	2.34
R7	4	4.06%	0.83	0.11	1.26	0.33	1.51
R8	21	3.41%	0.95	0.33	1.94	0.52	3.08
R9	14	3.33%	1.02	0.59	2.17	0.52	3.03
R10	4	2.44%	0.77	0.30	1.59	0.44	0.93

Firm costs, prices, margins, and total annual profits

			Margina	al cost(€)			
Firm	Number of products	Predicted share	Mean	Std	Mean price (€)	Mean margin	Total annual profit (\in m
All	224		1.33	0.69	2.44	0.47	64.65
Manufacturer							
M1	17	19.96%	1.23	0.45	2.83	0.53	15.05
M2	11	9.03%	1.23	0.30	2.44	0.47	6.44
М3	14	6.08%	2.66	1.18	3.94	0.33	3.68
M4	3	2.57%	1.29	0.73	2.91	0.58	3.26
Retailer							
R1	32	12.36%	0.88	0.44	1.96	0.55	8.90
R2	16	8.65%	0.80	0.42	1.77	0.56	5.16
R3	16	7.34%	0.73	0.47	1.71	0.61	4.60
R4	42	5.40%	1.60	0.69	2.37	0.33	3.11
R5	18	4.87%	0.71	0.46	1.60	0.60	3.52
R6	12	4.33%	0.82	0.33	1.82	0.54	2.34
R7	4	4.06%	0.83	0.11	1.26	0.33	1.51
R8	21	3.41%	0.95	0.33	1.94	0.52	3.08
R9	14	3.33%	1.02	0.59	2.17	0.52	3.03
R10	4	2.44%	0.77	0.30	1.59	0.44	0.93

Policy simulations

Which policies?

 Mandatory labelling policy imposing a fat content label on all dessert yogurts,

Which policies?

- Mandatory labelling policy imposing a fat content label on all dessert yogurts,
- Fat tax policy that increases by 10% (5%) the price of all full fat (half skimmed) products.

		From	nage blanc		Dessert yo	gurts
	Outside option	Skimmed fat free	Half skimmed	Full fat	Half skimmed	Full fat
Initial market shares in percent	6.18	15.88	38.09	15.46	6.77	17.62
Initial producer prices in Euro		1.98	1.97	2.95	2.87	3.06
Initial margins in Euro		0.46	0.44	0.41	0.57	0.67
		Mar	idatory labelling	policy		
Share variation with no firm response in pp	4.79	1.99	3.55	3.97	-1.68	-12.62
Share variation with firm response in pp	3.86	-1.84	-6.39	-0.01	0.74	3.64
Producer price variations in Euro		0.10	0.10	0.22	-1.03	-1.38
Margin variations in pp		0.01	0.02	0.02	-0.09	-0.20
			Fat tax policy			
Share variation with no firm response in pp	0.81	2.66	-0.12	-2.49	0.06	-0.92
Share variation with firm response in pp	0.65	2.83	-1.08	-2.27	0.12	-0.25
Producer price variations in Euro		-0.03	0.01	-0.02	-0.10	-0.15
Margin variations in pp		-0.01	0.00	-0.01	-0.01	-0.02

Simulation Results

		From	nage blanc		Dessert yo	gurts
	Outside option	Skimmed fat free	Half skimmed	Full fat	Half skimmed	Full fat
Initial market shares in percent	6.18	15.88	38.09	15.46	6.77	17.62
Initial producer prices in Euro		1.98	1.97	2.95	2.87	3.06
Initial margins in Euro		0.46	0.44	0.41	0.57	0.67
		Mana	latory labelling	g policy		
Share variation with no firm response in pp	4.79	1.99	3.55	3.97	-1.68	-12.62
Share variation with firm response in pp	3.86	-1.84	-6.39	-0.01	0.74	3.64
Producer price variations in Euro		0.10	0.10	0.22	-1.03	-1.38
Margin variations in pp		0.01	0.02	0.02	-0.09	-0.20
			Fat tax policy	y		
Share variation with no firm response in pp	0.81	2.66	-0.12	-2.49	0.06	-0.92
Share variation with firm response in pp	0.65	2.83	-1.08	-2.27	0.12	-0.25
Producer price variations in Euro		-0.03	0.01	-0.02	-0.10	-0.15
Margin variations in pp		-0.01	0.00	-0.01	-0.01	-0.02

		From	mage blanc		Dessert yo	gurts
	Outside option	Skimmed fat free	Half skimmed	Full fat	Half skimmed	Full fa
Initial market shares in percent	6.18	15.88	38.09	15.46	6.77	17.62
Initial producer prices in Euro		1.98	1.97	2.95	2.87	3.06
Initial margins in Euro		0.46	0.44	0.41	0.57	0.67
		Mana	latory labelling	policy		
Share variation with no firm response in pp	4.79	1.99	3.55	3.97	-1.68	-12.62
Share variation with firm response in pp	3.86	-1.84	-6.39	-0.01	0.74	3.64
Producer price variations in Euro		0.10	0.10	0.22	-1.03	-1.38
Margin variations in pp		0.01	0.02	0.02	-0.09	-0.20
			Fat tax policy			
Share variation with no firm response in pp	0.81	2.66	-0.12	-2.49	0.06	-0.92
Share variation with firm response in pp	0.65	2.83	-1.08	-2.27	0.12	-0.25
Producer price variations in Euro		-0.03	0.01	-0.02	-0.10	-0.15
Margin variations in pp		-0.01	0.00	-0.01	-0.01	-0.02

		Froi	nage blanc		Dessert yo	gurts
	Outside option	Skimmed fat free	${ m Half\ skimmed}$	Full fat	Half skimmed	Full fat
Initial market shares in percent	6.18	15.88	38.09	15.46	6.77	17.62
Initial producer prices in Euro		1.98	1.97	2.95	2.87	3.06
Initial margins in Euro		0.46	0.44	0.41	0.57	0.67
		Mana	latory labelling	g policy		
Share variation with no firm response in pp	4.79	1.99	3.55	3.97	-1.68	-12.62
Share variation with firm response in pp	3.86	-1.84	-6.39	-0.01	0.74	3.64
Producer price variations in Euro		0.10	0.10	0.22	-1.03	-1.38
Margin variations in pp		0.01	0.02	0.02	-0.09	-0.20
			Fat tax policy			
Share variation with no firm response in pp	0.81	2.66	-0.12	-2.49	0.06	-0.92
Share variation with firm response in pp	0.65	2.83	-1.08	-2.27	0.12	-0.25
Producer price variations in Euro		-0.03	0.01	-0.02	-0.10	-0.15
Margin variations in pp		-0.01	0.00	-0.01	-0.01	-0.02

		From	nage blanc		Dessert yogurts	
	Outside option	Skimmed fat free	${ m Half\ skimmed}$	Full fat	Half skimmed	Full fat
Initial market shares in percent	6.18	15.88	38.09	15.46	6.77	17.62
Initial producer prices in Euro		1.98	1.97	2.95	2.87	3.06
Initial margins in Euro		0.46	0.44	0.41	0.57	0.67
		Mana	latory labelling	policy		
Share variation with no firm response in pp	4.79	1.99	3.55	3.97	-1.68	-12.62
Share variation with firm response in pp	3.86	-1.84	-6.39	-0.01	0.74	3.64
Producer price variations in Euro		0.10	0.10	0.22	-1.03	-1.38
Margin variations in pp		0.01	0.02	0.02	-0.09	-0.20
			Fat tax policy			
Share variation with no firm response in pp	0.81	2.66	-0.12	-2.49	0.06	-0.92
Share variation with firm response in pp	0.65	2.83	-1.08	-2.27	0.12	-0.25
Producer price variations in Euro		-0.03	0.01	-0.02	-0.10	-0.15
Margin variations in pp		-0.01	0.00	-0.01	-0.01	-0.02

		From	nage blanc		Dessert yogurts	
	Outside option	Skimmed fat free	Half skimmed	Full fat	Half skimmed	Full fat
Initial market shares in percent	6.18	15.88	38.09	15.46	6.77	17.62
Initial producer prices in Euro		1.98	1.97	2.95	2.87	3.06
Initial margins in Euro		0.46	0.44	0.41	0.57	0.67
		Mar	idatory labelling	policy		
Share variation with no firm response in pp	4.79	1.99	3.55	3.97	-1.68	-12.62
Share variation with firm response in pp	3.86	-1.84	-6.39	-0.01	0.74	3.64
Producer price variations in Euro		0.10	0.10	0.22	-1.03	-1.38
Margin variations in pp		0.01	0.02	0.02	-0.09	-0.20
			Fat tax policy	,		
Share variation with no firm response in pp	0.81	2.66	-0.12	-2.49	0.06	-0.92
Share variation with firm response in pp	0.65	2.83	-1.08	-2.27	0.12	-0.25
Producer price variations in Euro		-0.03	0.01	-0.02	-0.10	-0.15
Margin variations in pp		-0.01	0.00	-0.01	-0.01	-0.02

		Froi	nage blanc		Dessert yo	gurts
	Outside option	Skimmed fat free	Half skimmed	Full fat	Half skimmed	Full fat
Initial market shares in percent	6.18	15.88	38.09	15.46	6.77	17.62
Initial producer prices in Euro		1.98	1.97	2.95	2.87	3.06
Initial margins in Euro		0.46	0.44	0.41	0.57	0.67
		Mar	idatory labelling	policy		
Share variation with no firm response in pp	4.79	1.99	3.55	3.97	-1.68	-12.62
Share variation with firm response in pp	3.86	-1.84	-6.39	-0.01	0.74	3.64
Producer price variations in Euro		0.10	0.10	0.22	-1.03	-1.38
Margin variations in pp		0.01	0.02	0.02	-0.09	-0.20
			Fat tax policy	/		
Share variation with no firm response in pp	0.81	2.66	-0.12	-2.49	0.06	-0.92
Share variation with firm response in pp	0.65	2.83	-1.08	-2.27	0.12	-0.25
Producer price variations in Euro		-0.03	0.01	-0.02	-0.10	-0.15
Margin variations in pp		-0.01	0.00	-0.01	-0.01	-0.02

Variations in profit

- Fat tax policy decreases the average profit by 4.27%,
- mandatory labelling policy decreases the average profit by 19.52%.

	Base	Fa	at tax	Mandato	ory fat label
	Fat	No firm	Firm	No firm	Firm
		response	response	response	response
All	844	-289	-46	-325	4
Meal shopper BM	II				
$\mathrm{BMI}{<}25$	836	-288	-46	-323	1
$25{\leq}\mathrm{BMI}{<}30$	851	-287	-46	-324	8
$\mathrm{BMI}{\geq}30$	871	-303	-50	-336	13

	Base	Fat tax		Mandatory fat labe	
	Fat	No firm	Firm	No firm	Firm
		response	response	response	response
All	844	-289	-46	-325	4
Meal shopper BM	II				
BMI < 25	836	-288	-46	-323	1
$25{\leq}\mathrm{BMI}{<}30$	851	-287	-46	-324	8
$BMI \ge 30$	871	-303	-50	-336	13

	Base	Base Fat tax		Mandatory fat label		
	Fat	No firm	Firm	No firm	Firm	
		response	response	response	response	
All	844	-289	-46	-325	4	
Meal shopper BM	I					
$\mathrm{BMI}{<}25$	836	-288	-46	-323	1	
$25{\leq}\mathrm{BMI}{<}30$	851	-287	-46	-324	8	
${\rm BMI}{\geq}30$	871	-303	-50	-336	13	

	Base	F	at tax	Mandat	ory fat label	
	Fat	No firm	Firm	No firm	Firm	
		response	response	response	response	
All	844	-289	-46	-325	4	
Meal shopper BM	II					
BMI < 25	836	-288	-46	-323	1	
$25{\leq}\mathrm{BMI}{<}30$	851	-287	-46	-324	8	
$BMI \ge 30$	871	-303	-50	-336	13	

	Base	F	Fat tax		datory fat label	
	Fat	No firm	Firm	No firm	Firm	
		response	response	response	response	
All	844	-289	-46	-325	4	
Meal shopper BM	II					
BMI < 25	836	-288	-46	-323	1	
$25{\leq}\mathrm{BMI}{<}30$	851	-287	-46	-324	8	
$BMI \ge 30$	871	-303	-50	-336	13	

Variations in household's surplus with firms' pricing response

- Fat tax policy decreases the average household's surplus by 2.54%,
- mandatory labelling policy increases the average household's surplus by 52.44%.

Conclusion

Summary of results

- More than 60% of consumers have a positive WTP for a fat-content label,
- Firms' pricing strategies are crucial to obtaining an accurate picture of the impacts of tax and mandatory labelling policies,
- Fat tax policy results in a decrease of fat purchased, whereas mandatory fat content labelling causes an increase of fat purchased.

What remains to be done?

- Simulation of a new policy equilibrium must take into account firms' optimal strategies:
 - Vertical relationships between producers and retailers (see Villas-Boas, 2007, Bonnet and Dubois, 2010),
 - Entry and exit of products (see Hamilton, 2009; Draganska et al, 2009).